

Call to Bar: 1993

Silk Year: 2020



"Tim Storrie KC is commended for his command of serious financial crime cases. He is proficient in handling cases involving allegations of fraud, money laundering and corruption." Financial Crime - Chambers and Partners 2024

"Tim is first choice for the most technical of cases due to his experience, knowledge and approach." Financial Crime - Chambers and Partners 2024

"Tim Storrie KC is highly regarded for his expertise in both financial crime and general criminal matter. He acts for both the prosecution and the defence on significant homicides and cases involving organised crime." Crime - Chambers and Partners 2024

"Tim is a very intelligent man whose vocabulary would impress an English scholar. He mesmerises the jury in court and leaves you in awe." Crime - Chambers and Partners 2024

"Tim is very, very focused on client care. He is one of the most eloquent members of the Bar I have come across." - Chambers & Partners, 2023

"He is very focused on client care." - Chambers & Partners, 2023

"Tim is an eloquent member of the Bar." - Chambers & Partners, 2023

"A very balanced, fair and determined advocate." - Chambers & Partners, 2022

"He's knowledgeable on the law and his advocacy is second to none." Chambers & Partners, 2022

"He is a very eloquent barrister. His advocacy is his top skill - he is brilliant in front of a jury." Chambers & Partners, 2022

"He is delightful, really jury-friendly. He is a lovely oral advocate and is measured and appealing in court." "A very eloquent and intelligent man." - Chambers & Partners, 2021

# Contact details:

<u>Tim.storrie@</u> <u>lincolnhousechambers.com</u>

0161 832 5701

# Clerk Contact details:

Dwright@ lincolnhousechambers.com

David Wright - 0161 832 5701

# Practice areas:

Business Crime & Financial Regulation

Criminal Law

Inquests

Judicial Review Law

**Professional Discipline** 

Regulatory

# Professional appointments:

Criminal Bar Association

Association of Regulatory and

Disciplinary Lawyers.

Administrative Law Bar Association

Northern Circuit

Middle Temple





"He has a fantastic way with words and is a very eloquent barrister. He is unflappable and deals with things in a very calm and measured way." "a very safe pair of hands and very charming with juries." "Our first choice due to his experience, knowledge and approach." - Chambers & Partners, 2021

"One of the most eloquent members of the Bar – a joy to listen to." The Legal 500, 2021

Tim Storrie has an established reputation for work across the spectrum of crime and related litigation. His practice encompasses all aspects of business crime, homicide, organised crime and serious sexual offending. He frequently acts as a leading junior and is rated as a Category 4 prosecutor. Additionally he is identified as a Tier 1 Leading Individual by The Legal 500.

"His recent track record includes money laundering, murder and drugs offences trials" Legal 500 2017

"He has a considerable intellect, which he deploys with energy, charm and good humour." Legal 500 2016

Tim is often deployed in long and complex litigation. His recent caseload has included multi-million pound fraud work, hidden assets litigation, gangland murder and corruption. Additionally he undertakes advisory work.

He has always sought to maintain a balance between prosecution and defence work. He has been twice commended by constabularies for his work in trafficking and non-recent sexual offences cases.

Tim's interest in regulatory work has attracted work in a variety of circumstances, including DVSA prosecutions, taxi licensing and in proceedings related to DEFRA regulations.

Tim has considerable experience in professional discipline, working in the Medical Practitioners' Tribunal Service, the Solicitors Regulatory Tribunal and in the police disciplinary tribunals, including investigations overseen by the IPCC. He appears before the administrative court in related matters.

He has lectured other lawyers and medical professionals on topics of mutual interest.

### Homicide and Serious Violence

"Operation Troop" – Defence of the first defendant in the gangland killing of rival in the Moss Side area of Manchester. With Andrew Jefferies QC. Instructed by Craig Liversidge for Forbes

R v Dale Dwyer & Or – Defence in a murder trial, where jury returned verdict of manslaughter. With Guy Gozem QC. Instructed by Correna Platt for Stephensons

R v David Jeffers – Prosecution of a defendant who placed a shotgun into the vagina of a woman and then fired it causing her catastrophic injury. With Peter Wright QC. Instructed by CPS

R v P – Defence of mother in a paediatric non accidental injury case involving extensive expert evidence in relation to identifying genetic abnormalities which raised questions in relation to the explanation of injuries and changed the approach of the prosecution. Instructed by Kevin White of Abrahams Law

R v Howarth & Or – Defence in a murder trial where facts concerned with the killing of a neighbour. With Andrew Thomas QC. Instructed by Kristian Cavanagh for Howard & Byrne

R v Bradbury – Defence of a man charged with murder, resulting in plea to manslaughter. Instructed by Geoff Ireland for NGA





R v Terence Armer – Leading for the Crown in a murder trial where the defendant set alight his victim. Leading Daniel Thomas. Instructed by Peter Kelly for CPS Cumbria

R v DC – Attempted murder allegation against youth who used machete in revenge attack on gang rival in Preston. Instructed by Debbie Ormiston for Birchall Blackburn

R v Maw – Defence of man who was persuaded to murder a young woman by his co-defendant. Events took place in a large Burnley park. Instructed by Geoff Ireland for NGA

R v A - Defence of mother in sec 18 against baby. Extensive injuries including broken arms and legs. Case involved extensive cross examination of paediatricians during trial

### Fraud and Asset Recovery

Operation Tarlac – Defence of the leading defendant in case where the Crown alleged conspiracy to defraud and to launder money. The Case concerned multi million pound deceptions practised on a large number of public authorities across the UK and the Channel Islands. The money was alleged to have been diverted out of the jurisdiction. Acquitted after three month trial. Leading Daniel Thomas. Instructed by Raza Shakhi for Saki's

R v Brookhouse – Defence in a £20M/hidden assets POCA claim for convicted drug trafficker. Instructed by Graeme Simpson at Stephensons

Hillingdon BC v McVey – Defence of a £12M/hidden assets POCA claim for defendant in trading standards proceedings. Leading Daniel Thomas. Instructed by Rachel Adamson and Priscilla Ado Quaye for Stephensons

R v R.Smith – Defence of money laundering husband of woman who stole £3.2M from her employer. Instructed by Alison Marriott for Stephensons

Sheffield City Council v Smith – Successful defence in fraudulent trading allegation which arose out of activities of building companies. Instructed by Priscilla Ado Quaye for Stephensons

Operation Challenger – DVSA prosecution in long trial in which allegations of systematic fraud on drivers' hours regulations, by company and drivers of Northumberland-based operator, were defeated. Instructed by Rachel Adamson for Stephensons

R v Rey - Business Executive who manipulated employer's purchasing policy to buy and sell good for his own ends. Instructed by Michael Balmer for Cartwright King.

DVSA v Drew (Westram) - allegations of systematic fraud on drivers' hours regulations defeated in respect of drivers from East Riding-based haulier. Instructed by Stephen Munro for Howard & Byrne

R v XX (ONGOING) defence of company officer for a substantial chemicals firm, alleged to have acted against the interests of his company by perpetrating fraud. Instructed by John Greenwood for Stephensons

R v YY (ONGOING) led junior in an allegation of corruption within a police force. Instructed by Bryan McMahon for Burton Copeland







# Sexual Offending, People Trafficking and Slavery

R v Hatch – Prosecution of defendant convicted of offences against a number of family members dating back to the late 1960s. For Sophie Rozdolskyj at CPS North West

R v GS – Successful defence of man accused by several family members of decades of abuse. Instructed by Nicola Saulle for Farleys

R v Hodgson – Defence of man who sought to rely on sleep disorder to explain complex history of sexual offending. Instructed by Nicola Saulle for Farleys

R v EG – Defence of man accused of rape at a student party. Instructed by Nicola Saulle for Farleys

R v Azam Khan & Ors – Defence in trial of human trafficking and serious sexual offending allegations, leading Simon Gurney. Case concerned trafficking of Roma girls to support bogus asylum claims. Instructed by Charlotte Ashworth for Waddington & Co

R v Swift – Trial of historical sexual degradation of vulnerable victim leading to 21-year sentence. Instructed by Southerns

R v Longden – Defence of paedophile accused of degradation of a niece, leading to 19 year sentence. Instructed by Walkers

R v Rennie – Prosecution of sexual abuse of four child victims. Indictment included series of offences from period between 1962 to late 80's. Instructed by Peter Kelly for CPS North West

R v Nejloveanu & Nejloveanu – Prosecution of defendants who imported vulnerable Romanian women into the UK before sexually exploiting them.

LM & ors v R [2010] EWCA Crim 2327; [2010] All ER (D) 202 (Oct); [2010] WLR (D) 266. – Landmark case commenting on scope of prosecutorial obligations arising out of Council of Europe Convention on Action Against Trafficking in Human Beings. Important commentary for doctrine of Abuse of Process. With Peter Wright QC. For CPS Appeals Unit.

R v JB & Ors – Allegations of satanic ritual abuse by members of the same family against female relatives. Case faltered on disclosure arguments after Defence learned that victims had each written biographies attesting to their wretched lives. Instructed by Geoff Ireland for NGA

R v Griffiths & Martindale – Prosecution of allegations of physical and sexual abuse of infants by pair of offenders who had insinuated themselves into positions of trust within a family. Allegations of distressing regime of terror made by victims when they were well into adulthood. Issues arose around consideration of contemporaneous social services investigation. Awarded Chief Consta

### Organised Crime

R v Busuttil – Allegations of conspiracy to supply quantities of drugs, involving an OCG, given the name The Manchester Boys, to towns on the Hampshire coast. Instructed by Matthew Corn for Olliers. R v Maugham & Ors – Defence of one defendant from an OCG that undertook expeditions to the North East to steal quantities of tobacco.





R v Phung – Prosecution of case brought by the Met, Northumbria, Cumbria and Lancashire Constabularies against man with managerial role establishing cannabis farms across the country. Associated confiscation proceedings. Leading Daniel Thomas. Instructed by Peter Kelly for North West CPS as lead prosecuting authority.

R v Joyce & Ors – Prosecution of gang responsible for serious assault on informant on their criminal activities. Instructed by Jim Plumbly for CPS North West.

R v Barker & Orritt – Prosecution of defendants who engaged in blackmail of businessman. For CPS North West.

R v Joy – Defence of armed robber sentenced for part in cash-in-transit robbery committed on licence, along with gang members who were still serving prisoners. Gang attacked delivery of cash from large Supermarket. Offender made subject to extended sentence regime. Instructed by Kerry Morgan for Morgan Brown Cahill.

Operation Alamos – Successful defence of allegation of class A drug trafficking, from importation to street supply, on streets of Burnley and Oldham. Evidence reflected important covert operation by GMP and Lancs Constabularies. Instructed by Rebecca Greenwood at DRN.

### **Other**

LM & ors v R [2010] EWCA Crim 2327; [2010] All ER (D) 202 (Oct); [2010] WLR (D) 266. – Landmark case commenting on scope of prosecutorial obligations arising out of Council of Europe Convention on Action Against Trafficking in Human Beings. Important commentary for doctrine of Abuse of Process. With Peter Wright QC. For CPS Appeals Unit.

R v (oao Layland) v East Lancashire Magistrates' Court [2010] EWHC 2115 – Failure by the Justices properly to exercise their discretion in refusing to rescind a sizeable compensation order.

R (oao Saltax & or) v Salford City Council [2009] EWHC 3788 (Admin) – Court rejected challenge to LA's policy of delimiting hackney carriage licenses. Case allowed for development of new taxi services to Media City.

R (oao Herd) v IPCC [2009] EHWC 3134 (Admin) – Scope of the Commission's responsibilities towards complaints, arising out of application to step down conviction.

R (on the application of G) v Burnley Magistrates' Court [2007] All ER (D.) 196 (Apr.) – On behalf of a youth committed for trial on allegation of sexual offending. The decision quashed an ultra vires order of Magistrates for committal.

R v Farrar [2006] EWCA Crim. 3261; [2007] 2 Cr.App.R.(S.) 35 (p.202); [2007] Crim.L.R. 308; All ER(D.) 204 (Dec.) – First authority on interpretation of s.229 CJA 2003; assessment of dangerousness and fairness of the procedure involved.





